



Resonance Chiral Lagrangians and alternative approaches to hadronic tau decays

Pablo Roig

*Instituto de Física, Universidad Autónoma de México, Apartado Postal 20-364, México D.F. 01000, México.
 Departamento de Física, Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados, Apartado Postal 14-740, 07000 México D.F., México.*

Abstract

Exclusive semi-leptonic decays of the tau lepton offer a clean probe to study the hadronization of QCD currents in its non-perturbative regime and learn about resonance dynamics, which drives strong interactions in these processes. In this theory outlook, I will use the simplest non-trivial di-pion tau decays to illustrate briefly recent theoretical progress on these analyses and their comparison to data.

Keywords: Resonance Chiral Lagrangians, Hadronic tau decays

1. Introduction

We will focus here on exclusive hadronic decays of the tau lepton. An updated detailed account on this topic, containing inclusive analyses, leptonic tau decays, and CPV and LFV searches in tau decays as well, can be found in Ref. [1].

The matrix element for $\tau^- \rightarrow H^- \nu_\tau$ decays, where H stands for the final-state hadrons, can be written

$$\mathcal{M}(\tau^- \rightarrow H^- \nu_\tau) = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{ud/us} \bar{u}_{\nu_\tau} \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma_5) u_\tau \mathcal{H}_\mu, \quad (1)$$

in which

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu = \left\langle H \left| (V - A)_\mu e^{i\mathcal{L}_{QCD}} \right| 0 \right\rangle = \sum_i (\dots)_\mu^i F_i(q^2, \dots) \quad (2)$$

is the hadronic matrix element of the left-handed QCD current evaluated between the initial hadronic vacuum and the final-state mesons. In eq. (2), $(\dots)_\mu^i$ are the set of allowed Lorentz structures and $F_i(q^2, \dots)$ the hadronic form factors, scalar functions depending on the kinematical invariants (q^2, \dots) , which reduce to the charged-meson decay constants (F_π, F_K) for one-meson tau decays. This, in turn, are well-known from the measured $(\pi/K)^- \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu$ decay rates [2]. Multi-meson modes start to provide non-trivial information on the hadronization of QCD currents.

A model/theory is needed to compute the $F_i(q^2, \dots)$ in this case, and observables are readily obtained either directly in terms of them, or using their appropriate combinations, the structure functions [3].

$M_\tau \sim 1.8$ GeV implies that the dynamics of hadronic tau decays will be mostly influenced by the lowest-lying light-flavored resonances like $\rho(770)$ or $a_1(1260)$, so that their propagation must be accounted for in the hadronic form factors.

Among the many approaches that have been developed with this purpose, let us mention the Gounaris-Sakurai (GS) [4] and Kühn-Santamaría (KS) [5] parametrizations and the Resonance Chiral Lagrangians ($R\chi L$) approaches [6] that we will be discussing.

These input form factors are fitted to data, directly or by means of a Monte Carlo Generator (which also helps to better estimate the backgrounds for a given process), being TAUOLA [7] the standard one in these low-energy applications. Related developments [8] are of interest both for theorists and experimentalists, for flavor-factories and colliders.

This kind of analyses should render the determination of resonance parameters: masses, widths and couplings. Contrary to the most common practice, model parameters should be avoided for the first two. Instead, physically meaningful model independent parameters shall be used, as they are those defined by the pole position

of the resonance in the complex plane

$$\sqrt{s_{Res}^{pole}} = M_{Res}^{pole} - \frac{i}{2} \Gamma_{Res}^{pole}, \quad (3)$$

which should be the ones quoted by the PDG [2].

ALEPH, CLEO, DELPHI and OPAL first [9], and then the two-flavor factories -BaBar and Belle- [10, 11] have been providing data on exclusive hadronic decay modes of the tau lepton with increasing precision and the prospects for Belle-II [12] and future planned facilities [13] are very much promising. This demands a corresponding effort on the theoretical description of these decays. Recent theoretical developments on hadronic tau decays are discussed in the next section using the well-known two-pion vector form factor (VFF) to explain them.

2. An illustrative example: The two-pion VFF

The KS-like parametrizations of the hadronic form factors are built fixing their normalizations to the leading order Chiral Perturbation Theory result [14], as linear weighted combinations of Breit-Wigner factors accounting for the dominant exchanged resonances. For instance, in the case of the $\pi^-\pi^0$ vector form factor

$$\left\langle \pi^-(p) \pi^0(p') \left| \bar{d} \gamma_\mu u \right| 0 \right\rangle = \sqrt{2} (p - p')_\mu F_V^{\pi^-\pi^0}(s), \quad (4)$$

where $s = (p + p')^2$, the KS-parametrization reads

$$F_V^{\pi^-\pi^0}(s) = \frac{BW_\rho(s) + \alpha BW_{\rho'}(s) + \beta BW_{\rho''}(s)}{1 + \alpha + \beta}, \quad (5)$$

with

$$BW_R^{KS}(s) = \frac{M_R^2}{M_R^2 - s - i \sqrt{s} \Gamma_R(s)}, \quad (6)$$

where the off-shell resonance width, $\Gamma_R(s)$, is obtained from the absorptive part of loop functions involving pions and Kaons. The GS expressions add to eq.(6) a contribution resembling the one produced by the real part of these loops, shifting both numerator and denominator.

However, both KS and GS parametrizations do violate the low-energy expansion of QCD [15] at next-to-leading order [16], introducing a systematic error in the analyses using them¹. This bias can (and should) be avoided by approaches built on the basis of chiral symmetry, as they are the $R\chi L$. In fact, these intend to interpolate between the two known extreme regimes

of QCD: the chiral limit at low energies and the operator product expansion (OPE) of QCD at high energies ($E > M_\tau$). An extensive program [6, 18] has been developed to work out the restrictions imposed on the resonance couplings by the OPE. The existence of a consistent minimal set of short-distance constraints applying to the two- and three-point Green functions and related form factors in the resonance region has been shown in both intrinsic parity sectors [19]. These were obtained in the $N_C \rightarrow \infty$ limit [20] within the single resonance approximation including multi-linear operators in resonance fields and working the latter in the antisymmetric tensor formalism. Such procedure provides a sound theoretical basis for the $R\chi L$ and their application to study two- and three-meson tau decays.

A complementary approach uses dispersion relations to obtain the hadronic form factors. In this way, analyticity and unitarity are automatically fulfilled and the poorest known (high-E) region is suppressed by the subtractions of the dispersive integrals. Consequently, results are also less sensitive to the precise short-distance QCD constraints, minimizing the effect of the error associated to the $1/N_C$ expansion.

Again, in the case of the charged two-pion VFF one would have [21]

$$F_V^{\pi^-\pi^0}(s) = \exp \left[\alpha_1 s + \frac{\alpha_2}{2} s^2 + \frac{s^3}{\pi} \int_{s_{thr}}^{\infty} ds' \frac{\delta_1^1(s')}{(s')^3 (s' - s - i\epsilon)} \right], \quad (7)$$

for the three-subtractions² case, where the phaseshift, $\delta_1^1(s)$ is obtained as

$$\tan \delta_1^1(s) = \frac{\Im m \left[F_V^{\pi^-\pi^0(0)}(s) \right]}{\Re e \left[F_V^{\pi^-\pi^0(0)}(s) \right]}, \quad (8)$$

using an input form factor $F_V^{\pi^-\pi^0(0)}(s)$, which can be provided by the $R\chi L$ [22]

$$\begin{aligned} F_V^{\pi^-\pi^0(0)}(s) &= \frac{M_\rho^2}{M_\rho^2 \left[1 + \frac{s}{96\pi^2 F_\pi^2} \left(A_\pi(s) + \frac{1}{2} A_K(s) \right) \right] - s} \\ &= \frac{M_\rho^2}{M_\rho^2 \left[1 + \frac{s}{96\pi^2 F_\pi^2} \Re e \left(A_\pi(s) + \frac{1}{2} A_K(s) \right) \right] - s - i M_\rho \Gamma_\rho(s)}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

In eq. (9) the whole complex chiral loop functions $A_P(s)$ [15] have been resummed in the denominator ensuring analyticity. Unitarity is also warranted in the

¹ Analyticity and UV QCD constraints are also violated [17].

² These are α_1 and α_2 -which are taken as free parameters- and $F_V^{\pi^-\pi^0}(0) = 1$, which is fixed by CVC.

elastic region. Beyond it, inelastic coupled channel effects need to be included. These are essential in obtaining the scalar $(K\pi)^-$ [23] and $\pi^-\eta$ form factors [24]. For final states with more than two mesons, only Ref. [25] has taken into account these effects in the $(K\pi\pi)^-$ form factors.

Constructs analogous to eqs. (7-9) have been employed also in the $(K\pi)^-$ VFF [26] and first attempts at describing the $K^-\eta$ VFF have also been undertaken [27]. In particular, a joint analysis of the $\tau^- \rightarrow K_S \pi^- \nu_\tau$ and $\tau^- \rightarrow K^-\eta \nu_\tau$ decays has recently allowed [28] an improved determination of the $K^*(1410)$ pole mass and width parameters according to eq.(3)³

$$M_{K^{*'}}^{pole} = (1304 \pm 17) \text{ MeV}, \Gamma_{K^{*'}}^{pole} = (171 \pm 62) \text{ MeV}. \quad (10)$$

The analysis of Belle data [11] for the number of events distribution measured in $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0 \nu_\tau$ decays yields the pole position [21]

$$M_\rho^{pole} = (761 \pm 2) \text{ MeV}, \Gamma_\rho^{pole} = (142 \pm 2) \text{ MeV}, \quad (11)$$

in nice agreement with alternative determinations. Two-pion VFF Belle data [11] are compared to different theoretical approaches in fig. 1.

3. Multi-meson modes and radiative corrections

Three-meson tau decays provide a much richer dynamical structure with up to four participating form factors. A reasonably good understanding has only been achieved for the three-pion modes [16, 29] but ongoing efforts extend also to the $(KK\pi)^-$ [30], $\eta\pi^-\pi^0$ [31] and $(K\pi\pi)^-$ [32] decay channels. A description of the state-of-the-art parametrizations for the $(\pi\pi\pi)^-$ and $(KK\pi)^-$ hadronic form factors in TAUOLA is given in Olga's talk [8].

For multi-meson modes the biggest challenge is to go beyond the $R\chi L$ description of $\tau^- \rightarrow (\pi\pi\pi\pi)^-\nu_\tau$ of Ref. [33], including operators multi-linear in resonance fields, specially taking into account the soon expected release of Belle data on these decay spectra [34]. For even higher-multiplicity modes, there are only parametrizations based on the KS model [35] and isospin relations [36], which provide a first estimate on these decays. More exotic modes have also been studied recently [37].

For the most abundant decay modes radiative corrections become an issue. Consequently, they have been

³For resonances overlapping with neighboring states, such as the lightest axial-vector resonance with strangeness $K_1(1270)$, this pole determination might not be feasible.




Figure 1: Belle data [11] (black dots) for $F_V(s) = F_V^{\pi^-\pi^0}(s)$ is compared to different theoretical descriptions: best agreement is obtained for our dispersive representation [21] (solid), followed by the GS parametrization (dashed). The dispersive formula of Pich-Portolés (dotted) [22] agrees nicely with the data up to the ρ' region, whose effect was not included in this parametrization. A similar feature is observed for the Guerrero-Pich (dotted-dashed) description [22], which also restricted to $\rho(770)$ exchange. A naïve vector meson dominance result is also shown (dotted-dashed) to illustrate its departure from data at low energies as a consequence of violating the chiral limit at next-to-leading order.

studied for the one-meson [38] and $\pi^-\pi^0$ [39] and $(K\pi)^-$ modes [40] (see Ref. [41]) where they are important for testing lepton universality, extracting V_{us} and obtaining $a_\mu^{HVP,LO}$, respectively, using tau decay data. These corrections are still lacking for the $(\pi\pi\pi)^-$ decay modes where they will be certainly needed with the advent of Belle-II data.

4. Conclusions and outlook

Hadronic decays of the τ lepton are a clean probe of the hadronization of QCD currents in the light-flavor sector at low energies. While inclusive studies are ideal for extracting fundamental parameters like α_S or V_{us} , exclusive analyses are able to determine resonances properties with precision.

$R\chi L$ and dispersion relations are the best theoretical approaches to deal with hadronization in these decays.

Two-meson and three-pion decay modes are well understood, so the challenge is now on controlling the corresponding radiative corrections.

All other multi-meson modes are still not described satisfactorily. Dedicated effort is needed from the collaboration between experiment and theory through Monte Carlo Generators, specially with forthcoming flavor facilities in mind.

Acknowledgements

I thank and congratulate Ian M. Nugent and Achim Stahl for the excellent organization of this Workshop. This work is partly funded by the Mexican Government through CONACYT and DGAPA (PAPIIT IN106913).

References

- [1] A. Pich, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **75** (2014) 41. See also G. Lafferty's TAU14 Workshop summary talk, these proceedings.
- [2] K. A. Olive *et al.* [Particle Data Group Collaboration], Chin. Phys. C **38** (2014) 090001.
- [3] J. H. Kühn and E. Mirkes, Z. Phys. C **56** (1992) 661 [Erratum-ibid. C **67** (1995) 364].
- [4] G. J. Gounaris and J. J. Sakurai, Phys. Rev. Lett. **21** (1968) 244.
- [5] J. H. Kühn and A. Santamaría, Z. Phys. C **48** (1990) 445.
- [6] G. Ecker *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. B **321** (1989) 311.
- [7] S. Jadach *et al.*, Comput. Phys. Commun. **64** (1990) 275; **76** (1993) 361. N. Davidson *et al.*, Comput. Phys. Commun. **183** (2012) 821.
- [8] Contribution to these Proceedings of the talks in this session by O. Shekhovtsova and Z. Was (TAUOLA) and P. Ilten (PYTHIA).
- [9] R. Barate *et al.* [ALEPH Collaboration], Z. Phys. C **76** (1997) 15. S. Anderson *et al.* [CLEO Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **61** (2000) 112002. R. Barate *et al.* [ALEPH Collaboration], Eur. Phys. J. C **4** (1998) 409. K. Ackerstaff *et al.* [OPAL Collaboration], Z. Phys. C **75** (1997) 593. P. Abreu *et al.* [DELPHI Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **426** (1998) 411. T. E. Browder *et al.* [CLEO Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **61** (2000) 052004. K. Ackerstaff *et al.* [OPAL Collaboration], Eur. Phys. J. C **7** (1999) 571. K. W. Edwards *et al.* [CLEO Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **61** (2000) 072003.
- [10] B. Aubert *et al.* [BaBar Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **72** (2005) 012003; 072001; **76** (2007) 051104; Phys. Rev. Lett. **100** (2008) 011801; Phys. Rev. D **77** (2008) 112002. P. del Amo Sanchez *et al.* [BaBar Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **83** (2011) 032002. J. P. Lees *et al.* [BaBar Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **86** (2012) 092010; 092013. I. M. Nugent, arXiv:1301.7105 [hep-ex]. K. Inami *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **643** (2006) 5; **672** (2009) 209. D. Epifanov *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **654** (2007) 65. M. J. Lee *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **81** (2010) 113007. S. Ryu *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **89** (2014) 072009.
- [11] M. Fujikawa *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **78** (2008) 072006.
- [12] B. Shwartz, these proceedings.
- [13] H. Peng and S. Eydelman, these proceedings.
- [14] S. Weinberg, Physica A **96**, 327 (1979).
- [15] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Annals Phys. **158**, 142 (1984); Nucl. Phys. B **250** (1985) 465.
- [16] D. Gómez Dumm, A. Pich and J. Portolés, Phys. Rev. D **69** (2004) 073002.
- [17] P. Roig, arXiv:1401.5038 [hep-ph].
- [18] G. Ecker *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **223** (1989) 425. B. Moussallam, Phys. Rev. D **51** (1995) 4939; Nucl. Phys. B **504** (1997) 381. S. Peris, M. Perrottet and E. de Rafael, JHEP **9805** (1998) 011. M. Knecht *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83** (1999) 5230; Eur. Phys. J. C **21** (2001) 659. J. Bijnens, E. Gamiz, E. Lipartia and J. Prades, JHEP **0304** (2003) 055. P. D. Ruiz-Femenía, A. Pich and J. Portolés, JHEP **0307** (2003) 003. V. Cirigliano *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **596** (2004) 96; JHEP **0504** (2005) 006. K. Kampf and J. Novotny, Phys. Rev. D **84** (2011) 014036.
- [19] V. Cirigliano *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. B **753** (2006) 139. P. Roig and J. J. Sanz-Cillero, Phys. Lett. B **733** (2014) 158.
- [20] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B **72** (1974) 461; **75** (1974) 461. E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B **160** (1979) 57.
- [21] D. Gómez Dumm and P. Roig, Eur. Phys. J. C **73** (2013) 2528.
- [22] F. Guerrero and A. Pich, Phys. Lett. B **412** (1997) 382. D. Gómez Dumm, A. Pich and J. Portolés, Phys. Rev. D **62** (2000) 054014. A. Pich and J. Portolés, Phys. Rev. D **63** (2001) 093005. J. J. Sanz-Cillero and A. Pich, Eur. Phys. J. C **27** (2003) 587. A. Celis, V. Cirigliano and E. Passemar, Phys. Rev. D **89** (2014) 1, 013008.
- [23] M. Jamin, J. A. Oller and A. Pich, Nucl. Phys. B **622** (2002) 279.
- [24] Z. H. Guo, J. A. Oller and J. Ruiz de Elvira, Phys. Rev. D **86** (2012) 054006. S. Descotes-Genon and B. Moussallam, Eur. Phys. J. C **74** (2014) 2946.
- [25] B. Moussallam, Eur. Phys. J. C **53** (2008) 401.
- [26] D. R. Boito, R. Escribano and M. Jamin, Eur. Phys. J. C **59** (2009) 821; JHEP **1009** (2010) 031. V. Bernard and E. Passemar, Phys. Lett. B **661** (2008) 95. JHEP **1004** (2010) 001. V. Bernard *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **80** (2009) 034034. V. Bernard, JHEP **1406** (2014) 082.
- [27] R. Escribano, S. González-Solís and P. Roig, JHEP **1310** (2013) 039.
- [28] R. Escribano, S. González-Solís, M. Jamin and P. Roig, JHEP **1409** (2014) 042. See also S. González-Solís' contribution to the Proc. of Quark Confinement and the Hadron Spectrum XI.
- [29] D. G. Dumm, P. Roig, A. Pich and J. Portolés, Phys. Lett. B **685** (2010) 158. O. Shekhovtsova *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **86** (2012) 113008. I. M. Nugent *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **88** (2013) 9, 093012.
- [30] D. G. Dumm, P. Roig, A. Pich and J. Portolés, Phys. Rev. D **81** (2010) 034031.
- [31] D. G. Dumm and P. Roig, Phys. Rev. D **86** (2012) 076009.
- [32] P. Roig *et al.*, work in progress.
- [33] G. Ecker and R. Unterdorfer, Eur. Phys. J. C **24** (2002) 535.
- [34] H. Hayashii, these proceedings.
- [35] J. H. Kühn and Z. Was, Acta Phys. Polon. B **39** (2008) 147.
- [36] R. J. Sobie, Z. Phys. C **69** (1995) 99. A. Rouge, Z. Phys. C **70** (1996) 65.
- [37] G. Calderón, J. H. Muñoz and C. E. Vera, Phys. Rev. D **87** (2013) 11, 114011. A. V. Vishneva, M. K. Volkov and D. G. Kostunin, Eur. Phys. J. A **50** (2014) 137.
- [38] Z. H. Guo and P. Roig, Phys. Rev. D **82** (2010) 113016. A. Guevara, G. Lpez Castro and P. Roig, Phys. Rev. D **88** (2013) 3, 033007. G. I. Gakh *et al.*, arXiv:1409.6460 [hep-ph].
- [39] V. Cirigliano, G. Ecker and H. Neufeld, Phys. Lett. B **513** (2001) 361; JHEP **0208** (2002) 002. A. Flores-Tlalpa *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **72** (2005) 113003; **74** (2006) 071301.
- [40] M. Antonelli *et al.*, JHEP **1310** (2013) 070. F. V. Flores-Báez and J. R. Morones-Ibarra, Phys. Rev. D **88** (2013) 7, 073009.
- [41] G. López-Castro, these proceedings.